

# United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/743,966	12/23/2003	Patrick Willem	920522-95347	920522-95347 9399 EXAMINER	
23644	7590 11/14/2006		EXAM		
BARNES & THORNBURG LLP P.O. BOX 2786			DINH, I	DINH, DUC Q	
CHICAGO, IL 60690-2786			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
ŕ			2629		
			DATE MAILED: 11/14/2006		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)		
	10/743,966	WILLEM ET AL.		
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit		
	DUC Q. DINH	2629		
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address		
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING D.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period of Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be time will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from a cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).		
Status				
Responsive to communication(s) filed on 23 D     This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) ☑ This     Since this application is in condition for allowed closed in accordance with the practice under E	action is non-final. nce except for formal matters, pro			
Disposition of Claims				
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-23 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) 12-21 and 23 is/are v 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 1-11 and 22 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	withdrawn from consideration.			
Application Papers				
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acc Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine	epted or b) objected to by the Eddrawing(s) be held in abeyance. See tion is required if the drawing(s) is obj	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). lected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).		
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119				
<ul> <li>12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).</li> <li>a) All b) Some * c) None of:</li> <li>1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.</li> <li>2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No</li> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.</li> </ul>				
Attachment(s)				
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 09/03/04.	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal Pa	ite		

Art Unit: 2629

### **DETAILED ACTION**

1. This Office action is responsive to the Response to the Requirement filed on September 06, 2006. Applicant's election with traverse for the ground(s) that claim 22 is depended on claim 1 are persuasive. Claims election of Group I, claims 1-11 and 22, is acknowledge.

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

2. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.
- 3. Claims 1-11 and 22 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Ogino et al. (U.S Patent No. 6,791,513), hereinafter Ogino.

In reference to claim 1, Ogino discloses in Figure 1, a tiled emissive display (100) for displaying an image, the tiled emissive display (100) comprising a plurality of emissive display tile assemblies (101) mechanically coupled together, and

a processing means (103 in Fig. 3) for performing real-time calculations with respect to the image to be displayed, wherein the processing means is a distributed processing means distributed over the plurality of emissive display tile assemblies (101), so that each emissive display tile assembly (101) is suitable for handling a different portion of the image for performing real-time calculations (see col. 7, lines 1-25).

Application/Control Number: 10/743,966

Art Unit: 2629

In reference to claim 2, Ogino discloses the tiled emissive display (100) wherein the distributed processing means (103) is suitable for performing image upscaling or downscaling at each emissive display tile assembly (101) [col. 5, lines 40-46; col.7, lines 9-25].

In reference to claim 3, Ogino discloses for the image upscaling or downscaling a highlevel scaling algorithm is used (col. 11, lines 10-19).

In reference to claim 4, Ogino discloses wherein the high-level scaling algorithm is a 100% accurate scaling algorithm (col. 5, lines 61-67; col. 9, line 64 – col. 10 line 36).

In reference to claim 5, Ogino discloses the distributed processing means of the plurality of emissive display tile assemblies (101) operate in parallel (see Fig. 2).

In reference to claim 6, Ogino discloses an emissive display tile assembly (100) is provided with a data input and/or a data output connection for receiving data from or transmitting data to another emissive display tile assembly (101) via any of a multi-line connection (see Figs. 2 and 3).

In reference to claim 7, Ogino disclsoes an emissive display tile assembly (101) is provided with a power input and/or a power output connection (106) for receiving power from or transmitting power to another emissive display tile assembly (101) via any of a multi-line connection (see Figs 2 and 3).

In reference to claim 9, Ogino discloses in each emissive display tile assembly (101) is provided with a local memory means (104) for storing configuration data (Fig. 2, col. 4, lines 20-25).

In reference to claim 11, Ogino discloses the tiled emissive display (100) has an adjustable size (col. 2, lines 30-35).

Application/Control Number: 10/743,966 Page 4

Art Unit: 2629

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

4. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

5. Claims 8 and 10 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Ogino.

In reference to claim 8, Ogino discloses an emissive display tile assembly (101) is provided with a signal transmit section 105 and power transmit section 106 but not suggest connector allowing to combine both power and data transmission.

However, absent a showing of critically and/or unexpected result, it would been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to combine the circuit 105 and 106 of Ogino as desired as was judicially recognized with In re Larson, 144 USPQ 347 (CCPA 1965), which recognizes that the combination of well known element is normally not desired toward patentable subject matter.

In reference to claim 10, Ogino does not teach an emissive display tile assembly (101) is adapted so that it can be repaired while the other tiles continue working. However, as shown in Fig. 6 a displaying of a character can be use 16 or 4 or 1 of unit(s) 101. Therefore, it would have been obvious for one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to recognize that each emissive display (101) can be adapted so that it can be repaired while other display continued working as claimed.

6. Claim 22 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Ogino view of Cok (U.S Patent No. 6,999,045).

Application/Control Number: 10/743,966 Page 5

Art Unit: 2629

In reference to claim 22, Ogino discloses the display is an LED display (see Figs. 2-3) but not the OLED display. Cok discloses a electronic system for tiled display using OLEDs display.

It would have been obvious for one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to utilize the OLED tiled displays in the system of Ogino as taught by Cok because it would provide high quality image tiled displays.

#### Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to DUC Q. DINH whose telephone number is (571) 272-7686. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon-Fri from 8:00.AM-4:00.PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, RICHARD HJERPE can be reached on (571)272-7691. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

DUC Q DINH

Ducdenle